ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M07220 Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Date Received: 06/01/11 Project: Metro Self Monitor M07220, F&BI 106012

Date Extracted: 06/03/11 Lab ID: 106012-01 x10

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 80 60 125

 Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Chromium
 701

 Nickel
 597

 Copper
 431

 Zinc
 15.3

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Client: Alaskan Copper Works

Date Received: Not Applicable Project: Metro Self Monitor M07220, F&BI 106012

Date Extracted: 06/03/11 Lab ID: I1-379 mb 06/03/11 Data File: I1-379 mb.049 Date Analyzed: Water Instrument: ICPMS1 Matrix: Units: ug/L (ppb) Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 69 60 125

Concentration
ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 06/06/11 Date Received: 06/01/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor M07220, F&BI 106012

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 106019-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.68	89	90	67-132	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	4.94	98 b	97 b	73-119	1 b
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	202	101 b	128 b	50-144	24 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	571	77 b	112 b	46-148	37 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent								
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance					
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria					
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	92	66-135					
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	67-134					
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	66-134					
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	57-135					

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${
 m jl}$ The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\operatorname{pr}-\operatorname{The}$ sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

naniz			SAI	MPLE CHA	JN OF C	US'	roi	DΥ	/	4E	06	101	/11			A	Dφ
06012				SAMPLERS	(signature)				_				_	_	ge# JRNAR		of
Send Report To Gerald Hangson				SAMPLERS (signoture)						_							
COMPANY ACASTAN COPPER Works				PROJECT N							PO #		O Standard (2 Works)				
Company ACASTAN Copper Works Address 628 S. Hanson So				MESTED SECT MOUTER MOTTOR						ت	R	ish ch	arges a	uthor	zed by:		
City, State, ZIP SEATTLE WA 98/34			REMARKS								SAMPLE DISPOSAL Dispose after 30 days Return samples						
Phone # 256-571-60	33_Fax #_ <u>2=</u>	oc. 382.	4309												all with		uctions
					1				A	NALY	SESR	EQUI	CSTE	D.			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270 HFS	CRCLARZ				X	- 1	Notes
M O 7220	01	6/1/11	12:3	1-12-0	/						1						
,							_										
							4	(4)	_				4	_	-		
							4		-				_		-		
							_										
													}				
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.				PRINT NAME COMI					MPAI	٧Y		DA'	ŢĒ	TIME			
3012 16th Avenue West	Reling shed by			genous THOMPSON De			4	J 41/11 1:43			1.4372						
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by: har laws			Whan Phan FeB				BI			6/1/	11	1:43				
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by										•				+		
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:			1												+	7
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC	Sit .										Sa	mple	s re	ceive	ed at	20	°C

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

June 6, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on June 1, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor M07220, F&BI 106012 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0606R.DOC